

# **Tool 2 – Self-Assessment Form for Continued Adherence of Assessing Organizations**

# Pan-Canadian Quality Assurance Framework for the Assessment of International Academic Credentials (QAF)

The Canadian Information Centre for International Credentials (CICIC) Pan-Canadian Quality Assurance Framework for the Assessment of International Academic Credentials (QAF)<sup>1</sup> is a code of good practice, which can be voluntarily adopted by and guide policies of Canadian assessing organizations. All organizations that adhere to QAF subscribe to its 64 principles and recommendations.

Canadian assessing organizations that have adopted QAF must demonstrate continued adherence, on a cyclical basis through a self-assessment process, followed by a peerreview process coordinated by CICIC. The outcome of the process results in a confirmation of continued adherence. In cases where an assessing organization requires corrective measures to be implemented before continued adherence can be reaffirmed, CICIC will issue a letter to confirm provisional adherence, conditional upon the implementation of said corrective measures within a specific timeframe. A follow-up date will also be set, at which time it will be verified that the corrective measures have been met and implemented.

The Tool 2 – Self-Assessment Form serves as the mechanism by which a Canadian assessing organization demonstrates continued adherence to QAF. Adherence to QAF can be demonstrated through the self-assessment process by providing supporting documentation and comments and by using a scale<sup>2</sup> for specific criteria. Carrying out a self-assessment will result in one of five possible outcomes:

- **No adherence**: The assessing organization fails to comply with the criteria.
- **Partial adherence**: Some aspects or parts of the criteria are met, while others are not. The interpretation of the criteria is correct, but the manner of implementation is not effective enough.
- **Substantial adherence**: The assessing organization is to a large extent in accordance with the criteria, the spirit/principle of which is followed in practice.
- **Full adherence**: The assessing organization acts entirely in accordance with the criteria, and its implementation is effective.





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CICIC. Pan-Canadian Quality Assurance Framework for the Assessment of International Academic Credentials (QAF). Retrieved from: <u>https://www.cicic.ca/1504/consult the pan canadian quality assurance framework for the assessm</u> ent of international academic credentials (gaf).canada.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The adherence scale model is largely based on the *Standards & Guidelines: A Quality Assurance System based on Peer Reviews for the ENIC-NARIC Networks,* as well as additional information from the following related page: <u>https://www.enic-naric.net/page-standards-guidelines-quality-assurance.</u>

• **Criteria are not applicable**: Can be used for situations where one or more criteria do not apply to the role and mandate of the assessing organization. Additional contextual information should be provided.

# Submitting the Tool 2 – Self-Assessment Form

Canadian assessing organizations can send the completed form and supporting documentation to CICIC, with contact information available on CICIC's website at: <u>www.CICIC.ca</u>.

# Demonstrating continued adherence to QAF

#### A. QAF principles and recommendations

The assessing organization confirms its level of continued adherence to the 64 QAF principles and recommendations as per the below:

#### A.1. QAF fundamental principles

QAF Principles and	Number					
Recommendations	Number	No	Partial	Substantial	Full	Not Applicable
Fundamental principles	1 to 13					

#### A.2. QAF assessment procedure

QAF Principles and	Number	Level of Adherence				
Recommendations		No	Partial	Substantial	Full	Not Applicable
General procedure	14 to 16					
Processing times	17					
Information	18 to 21					
requirements						
Fees	22 to 24					
Translations	25 to 27					
Document	28 to 34					
requirements						
Document delivery	35					
Status of	36 to 38					
institutions and						
programs						
Purpose/outcome	39 to 42					
of the assessment						
Level of study	43 to 46					

Assessment criteria	47 to 48			
Duration of the program of study	49			
Requests for review or appeals	50			

A.3. QAF alternative assessment procedure for applicants without access to verifiable documentation

QAF Principles and Recommendations	Number	Level of Adherence No Partial Substantial Full Appli				
Alternative	51 to 52					
procedure						
Information	53					
requirements						
Eligibility	54 to 57					
Document	58 to 60					
requirements						
Verification	61					
Types of	62 to 63					
alternative						
assessment						
Document sharing	64					

Comments from the organization:

#### **B.** Communication

The assessing organization confirms it provides clear, current, accurate, comprehensive, and publicly accessible information to applicants regarding the following items:

			Level of Adhe	erence	
	No	Partial	Substantial	Full	Not Applicable
General procedure for an applicant to apply for an assessment					

Alternative assessment			
procedure for an applicant			
without access to verifiable			
documentation			
Documentation to be			
provided and the			
requirements regarding the			
authentication and			
translation of documents			
Mode of submission,			
required content, and			
format of required			
documents			
Documentation that may, or			
will, be shared with other			
organizations, retained by			
the assessment service, or			
returned to the applicant			
Steps in the assessment			
process the applicant can			
undertake from outside of			
Canada			
Specific role of professional			
associations, regulatory			
bodies, and educational			
institutions in the			
assessment and recognition			
processes			
Scope of the assessment			
notice or assessment report,			
in particular where			
admission to an educational			
institution or access to a			
profession or trade is			
concerned			
Anticipated time required for			
the assessment process			
Cost of the assessment			
Procedure for appealing			
decisions or reviewing			
opinions			

Comments from the organization:

### C. Assessing organization-level competencies

#### C.1. Reference works and documentation centre

The assessing organization describes the breadth of its documentation centre, indicating the number and kind of historical and current reference documents the centre contains. The assessing organization maintains: an up-to-date list of the associations in and outside Canada of which it is a member, updated international academic credentials databases to which it subscribes, and up-to-date electronic distribution lists to which it belongs or other collaborative tools in its employ.

The	assessing	organization	confirms:
THE	assessing	organization	commus.

			Level of Adh		Not
	No	Partial	Substantial	Full	Applicable
It has sufficient quantity and diversity of historical and current reference documents					
It uses these reference documents in its					
assessments					
It subscribes to international academic credentials databases					
It is a member of relevant associations in and outside Canada, and it subscribes to electronic distribution lists or uses similar appropriate collaborative tools					

Comments from the organization:

# C.2. Document management

The assessing organization uses a document management system that allows easy access to any information sought, while respecting legally binding provisions in provincial, territorial, federal, and international legal frameworks (e.g., privacy and personal information protection and exchange of electronic data legislation). It describes how the archiving of assessment outcomes (i.e., decisions or opinions) is designed to allow organized, systematic retrieval of previous outcomes. It describes how its document management procedures guarantee the security and protection of applicant information and, in particular, original documents.

	No	Partial	Level of Adho Substantial	erence Full	Not Applicable
Document-keeping					Applicable
procedures					
Length of time documents					
are preserved					
A procedure for accessing					
earlier outcomes					
Respecting legally binding					
provisions in provincial,					
territorial, federal, and					
international legal					
frameworks (e.g., privacy					
and personal information					
protection and exchange of					
electronic data legislation)					
Management of original					
documents					

The assessing organization confirms internal policies are in place for:

Comments from the organization:

# C.3. Experience of the assessing organization

The assessing organization must have complied with QAF for a minimum of one year. Furthermore, it must have issued a significant number of international academic credential assessment reports for use and recognized<sup>3</sup> in Canada, based on QAF principles and recommendations, during this time period.

The assessing organization confirms it has:	
---	--

			Level of Adhe	erence	
	No	Partial	Substantial	Full	Not Applicable
Complied with QAF for a minimum of one year					
Issued a significant number					
of international academic					
credential assessment reports for use and					
recognized in Canada, based					
on QAF principles and					
recommendations during					
this time period					

Comments from the organization:

# D. Key attitudes, values, and competencies of academic credential assessment personnel

The assessing organization provides a brief explanation of all personnel directly involved in its academic credential assessment procedure and its reporting structure. The summary should clearly outline:

- types of roles and titles;
- number of full-time equivalent employees;
- principal responsibilities within the overall academic credential assessment procedure; and
- the profile of the most senior academic credential assessor.

The assessing organization's personnel have received appropriate training, are knowledgeable in credential assessment processes and international education, and are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> I.e., demonstration of recognition of assessment reports by publicly funded institutions for purposes of further education, licensure, and employment.

able to process applicants requesting an assessment of their international academic credentials, based on QAF.

# The assessing organization confirms:

			Level of Adh	erence	
	No	Partial	Substantial	Full	Not
	NO	Faitiai	Substantial	Full	Applicable
It has sufficient personnel					
to carry out the volume and					
types of assessments in a					
satisfactory manner					
It has a sufficient number of					
academic credential					
assessors with appropriate					
attitudes, values, and					
competencies					
At least one academic					
credential assessor has key					
attitudes, values, and					
competencies described in					
CICIC's Competency Profile					
for an Academic Credential					
Assessor <sup>4</sup> with a minimum					
of one year of practical					
experience, under					
supervision, assessing					
international academic					
credentials for different					
levels of study					
It provides its personnel					
with appropriate training					
and relevant knowledge, as					
well as access to					
professional development					
opportunities, guaranteeing					
maintenance of an					
appropriate level of					
expertise in academic					
credential assessment. Its					
personnel participate in					
structured training,					
engaging with peers in the					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> CICIC. Competency Profile for an Academic Credential Assessor. 2012. Retrieved from: https://www.cicic.ca/1532/identify\_key\_competencies\_of\_an\_academic\_credential\_assessor.canada.

pan-Canadian academic credential assessment community, conducting research, and pursuing opportunities for professional development (e.g., conferences, workshops, webinars)			
It ensures its personnel are familiar with international legal frameworks related to international academic credential recognition (e.g., 1997 Convention on the Recognition of Qualifications concerning Higher Education in the European Region, 2019 Global Convention on the Recognition of Qualifications concerning Higher Education), as well as CICIC's tools and resources accessible to the pan-Canadian academic credential assessment community (e.g., the EVALUATION listserv, terminology guides, the step-by-step guide on how to assess an academic credential issued outside of Canada)			

Comments from the organization:

# E. Assessment procedure

Beyond the QAF assessment procedure (A.2.) and the QAF alternative assessment procedure for applicants without access to verifiable documentation (A.3.), the assessing organization applies measures designed to:

	Level of Adherence				
	No	Partial	Substantial	Full	Not Applicable
Prevent the falsification of					
assessment reports or their					
fraudulent use by applicants					
Clearly inform the					
applicants of the purpose					
for which the academic					
credential has been					
assessed and/or any					
restrictions on the report's					
use					

Comments from the organization:

# F. Case studies

The assessing organization prepares at least one case study to demonstrate adherence to QAF and its fundamental principles (A.1.). More specifically, either:

- one comprehensive case study should focus on QAF's assessment procedure (A.2.); and/or
- one comprehensive case study should focus on QAF's alternative assessment procedure for applicants without access to verifiable documentation (A.3.).

All case studies must:

- cover different issuing education systems outside Canada;
- be anchored to a process that both situates the applicant's international academic credential in the context of the relevant issuing education system outside Canada, and also determines whether the academic credential is comparable to an academic credential issued within at least one of the 13 provincial and territorial education systems in Canada, taking into consideration

the levels, types, and particular characteristics of academic credentials typically found in Canada;<sup>5</sup>

- use different disciplines and levels of credentials (e.g., secondary through doctorate levels, academic or vocational/career programs);
- describe how the assessment procedure (i.e., A.2, A.3) was interpreted and applied;
- provide a list of reference works and documentation used to support the assessment procedure;
- describe the resulting assessment outcome and its justification;
- provide the template(s) used to document the resulting assessment outcome and to communicate the outcome to the applicant;
- provide any additional details, if applicable.

# G. Statement of Compliance

The undersigned states that

has maintained continued adherence to the Canadian Information Centre for International Credentials (CICIC) Pan-Canadian Quality Assurance Framework for the Assessment of International Academic Credentials (QAF).

Reviewed and signed by:

Name of the Canadian	assessing organization
----------------------	------------------------

Signature

Date

Name

Title

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Competent recognition bodies and assessment services in Canada may use various terminology to describe their academic credential assessment outcomes, such as but not limited to: assessment against Canadian education standards; comparative evaluation of studies taken outside Quebec (comparative evaluation).